

**Multnomah and Washington County
Regional Investment Board (RIB)
Meeting Summary, June 19, 2002
Portland State University
Urban Center, Room 710
Portland**

Judson Randall convened the meeting at 12 pm.

The following RIB members attended: Edwin Golobay, Paul Ip, Roy Jay, Doug Marshall, Jack Orchard, Judson Randall, Bob Terry, Janet Young.

Not attending: Betty Atteberry, Bud Caverly, Bertha Ferran, Maria Rojo de Steffey

Interviews of selected Rural projects

The Board received presentations for the following rural applications:

Project	Title	Original Request	Presenter
#7	Low-income Hispanic Economic Enhancement Project	\$250,000	Bridget Cooke (Centro Cultural of Washington County)
# 3	Best Practices for Connecting Latino Entrepreneurs to the Economy in Western Washington County	\$30,000	Deanna Palm, Margaret Garza (Hillsboro Chamber of Commerce)
#5	Farm/Migrant Housing and Agricultural Cooperative	\$172,848	Doug Longhurst (Housing Development Corp. of Washington County)

After each presentation Board members asked specific questions of each project and presenters provided answers and clarifications.

Ethan Seltzer noted that a representative of Ride Connection indicated to staff in a telephone conversation that project #4 (East Multnomah County WorkLink) will be withdrawn and that a fax will be send to the Board.

The Board then discussed the rural applications. Jack Orchard moved to tentatively take the remaining unallocated rural funds (those funds left in the rural allocation after subtracting funding tentatively committed to projects before), split them in half and allocate these to project #7 (Low-income Hispanic Economic Enhancement Project) and #5 (Farm/Migrant Housing and Agricultural Cooperative) with the stipulation that these projects needed to document deliverables and outputs prior to the next board meeting on July 24. Motion was seconded by Doug Marshall. After some discussion, Bob Terry proposed amending the motion to tentatively approve some funding for project #3 (Best Practices for Connecting Latino Entrepreneurs...) and to allocate the remaining balance

equally to projects #7 and #5 with the stipulation that these projects needed to document proposed deliverables and outputs prior to the next Board meeting on July 24. The amendment was accepted. After Doug Marshall's request to ask project #5 to specifically focus on housing and not on farming, the motion and the second were withdrawn by Jack Orchard. Doug Marshall moved to tentatively approve \$15,000 for project #3 (Best Practices for Connecting Latino Entrepreneurs...) and to allocate the remaining balance equally to projects #7 and #5 with the stipulation that these projects needed to demonstrate deliverables and outputs prior to the next Board meeting on July 24 and that project #5 will focus on housing and not on farming. The motion failed with Roy Jay, Paul Ip, and Ed Golobay opposed. Jack Orchard then moved that the Board indicate its willingness to allocate \$15,000 to project #3 and split the balance of the remaining funds between project #7 (\$63,231.50) and #5 (\$63,231.50) under the condition that all three projects submit documentation about deliverables and outputs before the next Board meeting on July 24. The motion passed unanimously.

Interviews of selected Multi-Regional projects

The Board received presentations for the following multi-regional applications:

Project	Title	Original Request	Presenter
#6	Keeping Agriculture Viable in Oregon	\$43,250	Jennifer Heilbronner (Agri-Business Council of Oregon)
#4	East Metro Area Advanced Transportation and Telecommunication Corridor Assessment	\$75,000	Ron Papsdorf (City of Gresham)
#1	ACE-Net	\$4,000	Deborah Conrad (Oregon Cascades West Council of Government)

After each presentation Board members asked specific questions of each project and presenters provided answers and clarifications.

Roy Jay moved that project #1 (ACE-Net) be tentatively suspended from further review. Jack Orchard seconded. The motion passed with Doug Marshall opposed.

Ed Golobay moved to fund project # 6 (Keeping Agriculture Viable...) at the original request (\$43,250.00) and that the remaining balance in the Multiregional fund left after funding #6 and projects tentatively approved in the past be allocated to project #4 (\$54,222.00). Motion was seconded by Paul Ip. During discussion the motion was amended to ask that the applicant for project #4 be asked to provide documentation of proposed outcomes at the reduced funding level, support from Metro for the project, and some indication that the analysis will look at both road and transit alternatives. The representative of the project commented that it would most definitely address both road and transit alternatives. After discussion, the motion passed unanimously.